

Singular Value Decomposition

Theorem I (Singular Value Decomposition)

Let \mathbf{A} be a p by n matrix of real elements (not all zeroes) with $p \geq n$. Then there is a p by p orthogonal matrix \mathbf{U} , an n by n orthogonal matrix \mathbf{V} , and a p by n matrix $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ such that

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{V}' \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{U}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{\Lambda}$$

where

$$\mathbf{\Lambda} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\Lambda}_n \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$$

and $\mathbf{U}'\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{U}' = \mathbf{I}_p$, $\mathbf{V}'\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}' = \mathbf{I}_n$, where \mathbf{I}_p and \mathbf{I}_n are p by p and n by n identity matrices respectively. $\mathbf{\Lambda}_n$ is an n by n diagonal matrix and $\mathbf{0}$ is a $p-n$ by n matrix of zeroes. The diagonal entries of $\mathbf{\Lambda}_n$ are non-negative with exactly s entries strictly positive ($s \leq n$).

Theorem II – the famous Eckart-Young Theorem – solves the general least squares problem of approximating one matrix by another of lower rank. Geometrically, suppose the matrix is a set of p points in an n -dimensional space and we wish to find the best two-dimensional plane through the p points such that the distances from the points to the surface of the plane are minimized. Technically, let \mathbf{A} be a p by n matrix of rank 15 and let \mathbf{B} be a p by n matrix of rank 2. Given \mathbf{A} , the problem is to find the matrix \mathbf{B} such

that $\sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^n (a_{ij} - b_{ij})^2$ is minimized.

Theorem II was never explicitly stated by Eckart and Young. Rather, they use two theorems from linear algebra (Theorem I was the first) and a very clever argument to

show the truth of their result. Later, Keller (1962) independently rediscovered the Eckart-Young result (Theorem II).

Theorem II (Eckart and Young)

Given a p by n matrix \mathbf{A} of rank $r \leq n \leq p$, and its singular value decomposition, $\mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{V}'$, with the singular values arranged in decreasing sequence

$$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_3 \geq \dots \lambda_n \geq 0$$

then there exists a p by n matrix \mathbf{B} of rank s , $s \leq r$, which minimizes the sum of the squared error between the elements of \mathbf{A} and the corresponding elements of \mathbf{B} when

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}_s\mathbf{V}'$$

where the diagonal elements of $\mathbf{\Lambda}_s$ are

$$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_3 \geq \dots \lambda_s > \lambda_{s+1} = \lambda_{s+2} = \dots = \lambda_n = 0$$

Theorem I states that every real matrix can be written as the product of two orthogonal matrices and one diagonal matrix. Theorem II states that the least squares approximation in s dimensions of a matrix \mathbf{A} can be found by replacing the smallest $n-s$ roots of $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ with zeroes and remultiplying $\mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{V}'$.

Because the lower $p-n$ rows of $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ are all zeros, it is convenient to discard them and work only with the n by n diagonal matrix $\mathbf{\Lambda}_n$. In addition, the $p-n$ eigenvectors in \mathbf{U} corresponding to the $p-n$ lower rows of $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ may also be discarded. With these deletions of redundant rows and columns, \mathbf{U} is a p by n matrix, $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ is an n by n diagonal matrix, and \mathbf{V} is an n by n matrix. Hence $\mathbf{U}'\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{V}'\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}' = \mathbf{I}_n$. A decomposition according to Theorem I will be assumed to be in this form.

Example

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 4 & 3 & 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 5 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} = U\Lambda V' = \begin{bmatrix} -.380 & .120 & -.439 & .565 \\ -.404 & .345 & .057 & -.215 \\ -.545 & .429 & -.051 & -.432 \\ -.265 & -.068 & .884 & .215 \\ -.446 & -.817 & -.142 & -.321 \\ -.355 & -.102 & .004 & .546 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 11.485 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3.270 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2.653 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2.089 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -.444 & -.558 & -.324 & -.621 \\ .556 & -.654 & -.351 & .374 \\ .435 & -.277 & .732 & -.444 \\ -.512 & -.428 & .485 & .526 \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that we can write Λ as the sum:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 11.485 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3.270 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2.653 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2.089 \end{bmatrix}$$

Which in symbols we can write as:

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2 + \Lambda_3 + \Lambda_4$$

Hence,

$$A = U\Lambda V' = U[\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2 + \Lambda_3 + \Lambda_4]V' = U\Lambda_1 V' + U\Lambda_2 V' + U\Lambda_3 V' + U\Lambda_4 V'$$

Now, observe that

$$U\Lambda_1 V' = \begin{bmatrix} -.380 \\ -.404 \\ -.545 \\ -.265 \\ -.446 \\ -.355 \end{bmatrix} (11.485) \begin{bmatrix} -.444 & -.558 & -.324 & -.621 \end{bmatrix}$$

Because of the columns of zeroes in Λ_1

To see this, note that

$$\begin{bmatrix} -0.380 & 0.120 & -0.439 & 0.565 \\ -0.404 & 0.345 & 0.057 & -0.215 \\ -0.545 & 0.429 & -0.051 & -0.432 \\ -0.265 & -0.068 & 0.884 & 0.215 \\ -0.446 & -0.817 & -0.142 & -0.321 \\ -0.355 & -0.102 & 0.004 & 0.546 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 11.485 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = (11.485) \begin{bmatrix} -0.380 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.404 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.545 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.265 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.446 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.355 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = U\Lambda_1$$

because the columns of zeroes cancel. When $U\Lambda_1$ is multiplied through V' the corresponding rows of V' are multiplied by zero so they disappear as well. This fact allows us to write $U\Lambda_1 V'$ as the sum:

$$A = U\Lambda V' = u_1\lambda_1v_1' + u_2\lambda_2v_2' + u_3\lambda_3v_3' + u_4\lambda_4v_4'$$

If you want a matrix B of rank 3 that is the best least squares approximation to A , then it is

$$B = u_1\lambda_1v_1' + u_2\lambda_2v_2' + u_3\lambda_3v_3'$$

The residual matrix is

$$E = A - B = u_4\lambda_4v_4'$$

And the sum of the squared residuals is λ_4^2 (recall that the sum of squares of all the elements in A is $\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2 + \lambda_4^2$. In this example,

$$(1^2 + 2^2 + 1^2 + 4^2 + 3^2 + 2^2 + 1^2 + 3^2 + 4^2 + 3^2 + 1^2 + 4^2 + 2^2 + 1^2 + 3^2 + 1^2 + 1^2 + 5^2 + 2^2 + 2^2 + 1^2 + 2^2 + 2^2 + 3^2) = 154 = (11.485^2 + 3.270^2 + 2.653^2 + 2.089^2)$$